UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SATISH CHANDER BAHL,

Plaintiff.

v.

CUNARD CRUISE LINE et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-08462-SB-RAO

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The parties filed a notice of settlement on January 2, 2026. This action is therefore dismissed in its entirety without prejudice, and the January 9 pretrial conference and January 12 jury trial are vacated. In light of the parties' representation that they have resolved "all matters in controversy in this action," and giving Plaintiff's counsel the benefit of the doubt as to his prior representations to the Court about what his clients had told him, the Court denies Defendant's motion for relief from fraud on the Court (Dkt. No. 82), which had been deferred until after trial.

For 30 days from the date of this order, the Court retains jurisdiction to vacate this order and to reopen the action nunc pro tunc on motion of any party. By operation of this order and without further court action, the dismissal in this case will convert to a dismissal with prejudice on the 31st day, absent a timely motion to vacate and reopen. If the case is reopened, the parties should be prepared for an expedited trial schedule.

The Court expects the parties to finalize their settlement or else move to reopen the case for prosecution within the next 30 days as ordered above. Should the parties file any document that contains a request to extend the deadline for purposes of completing the settlement, counsel for both parties shall submit at least seven days before the deadline a declaration with a detailed timeline of all the efforts made to complete the settlement, and the parties shall be prepared to

appear in court with a client representative to explain why the settlement could not be completed in the time allowed.

Date: January 5, 2026

Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr.
United States District Judge

Page 2 of 2 Page ID